

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/913,883	12/05/2001	Nicole Aupaix	022701-943	5849
21839	7590 05/01/2003			
BURNS DOANE SWECKER & MATHIS L L P			EXAMINER	
POST OFFICE BOX 1404 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			LOVERING, RICHARD D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1712	-
			DATE MAILED: 05/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.   Applicant/a
Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/913, 883 Applicant(s) AUPAIX ETAL-
Onice Action Summary	Examiner Group Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address
Period for Response	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE
from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by o	R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTH and a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. III, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on DEC 15	, 200/
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	•
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19</li> </ul>	pt for formal matters, <b>prosecution as to the merits is closed</b> in 935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	
5/Claim(s) 1 - 23	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
$\times$ Claim(s) $1, 7-11, 6, 22$ AND 23 $\times$ Claim(s) $2-6, 12-15$ , AND $17-21$	is/are rejected.
M Claim(e) 2-6-12-15 AND 17-21	is/are objected to.
A Claim(s)	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	are subject to restriction or election
☐ Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved.
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved.
<ul> <li>□ Claim(s)</li> <li>Application Papers</li> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948 isapproved disapproved.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objection.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.
☐ Claim(s)  Application Papers  ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948 is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority [All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the CER	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948.  is approved disapproved. ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been
Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  aber)  international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nums received in this national stage application from the Insertional Stage application from the Insertion from the Insertional Stage application from the Inse	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been  aber)  international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Application Papers  □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
□ Claim(s)  Application Papers □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	are subject to restriction or election requirement.  ing Review, PTO-948.  isapproveddisapproved. ected to by the Examiner.  under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). of the priority documents have been aber) international Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

Serial No. 09/913,883
Art Unit 1712

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 8-11 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §
  103(a) as being unpatentable over Monden et al. 6,328,947 in view of Stramel 5,397,391 or Lassmann 6,352,586. Monden et al.
  (Example 2) disclose an organosol containing TiO2 particles and ethanol from which the particles can be recovered, noting from column 1, lines 14-21, the cosmetic utility among others. While Monden et al. don't disclose polyoxyethylenated alkyl or alkylaryl ether phosphates, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time applicants' invention was made to incorporate the stated phosphates in the organosol of Example 2 of Monden et al. to obtain sols with improved dispersibility and solid particles recovered therefrom with improved redispersibility in view of the teachings of Stramel (column 4, lines 16-40) or Lassmann (column 3, lines 29-34, noting also column 4, lines 27-41).

Serial No. 09/913,883

Art Unit 1712

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicants regard as their invention.

4. Claims 7, 9, 10, 16, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.

A broad range or limitation together with a narrow range or limitation that falls within the broad range or limitation (in the same claim) is considered indefinite, since the resulting claim does not clearly set forth the metes and bounds of the patent protection desired. Note the explanation given by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences in Ex parte Wu, 10 USPQ2d 2031, 2033 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1989), as to where broad language is followed by "such as" and then narrow The Board stated that this can render a claim indefinite by raising a question or doubt as to whether the feature introduced by such language is (a) merely exemplary of the remainder of the claim, and therefore not required, or (b) a required feature of the claims. Note also, for example, the decisions of Ex parte Steigewald, 131 USPQ 74 (Bd. App. 1961); Ex parte Hall, 83 USPQ 38 (Bd. App. 1948); and Ex parte Hasche, 86 USPQ 481 (Bd. App. 1949). In the present instance, claim 16

Serial No. 09/913,883

Art Unit 1712

recites the broad recitation an organic liquid phase, and the claim also recites in particular a sol . . . polar solvent which is the narrower statement of the range/limitation.

Claims 7, 10 and 16 are indefinite in lacking antecedent basis in claims 2; 1; and 1, respectively for "the second layer"; "the polar solvent"; and "optionally covered by one or both of the aforementioned layers", respectively.

Claim 9 is an indefinite and improper dependent claim in not further limiting claim 1 (which given its plain meaning) would not cover alkylaryl ether phosphates.

Claim 22 is indefinite and incomplete in not reciting the number of the claim upon which it depends.

- 5. In claim 3, line 4 and claim 15, line 3, "lest" should be changed to --least--.
- 6. In claim 12, line 1, "Sold" should be changed to --Solid--.
- 7. Claims 2-6, 12-15 and 17-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 8. Claims 7, 16 and 22 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. § 112 set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art of record doesn't disclose or fairly suggest the sols of claims 2-7, the solid compounds of claims 12 and 13, and/or the preparative

- The remaining references listed on the attached Form PTO-892 are cumulative to the references applied herein, and/or further show the state of the art.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Lovering whose telephone number is (703) 308-0443. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 7:30 A.M. to 4:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

R. Lovering:cdc April 30, 2003

> RICHARD D. LOVERING PRIMARY EXAMINER

GROUP 1888 1700